

THE SURPRISE HALT TO THE BEIRUT HOLOCAUST

BETER'S ACCOUNT

1 Beirut's siege brought severe civilian suffering. Beter condemned the attacks.



HISTORICAL RECORD

2 Habib negotiated a plan for the PLO's departure from Beirut.



HISTORICAL RECORD

3 Beter alleged an August 12 Soviet warning forced a sudden change.



MOSCOW

WASHINGTON

BETER'S ALLEGATION

4 He attributed the halt to a threatening fleet of Soviet cosmo-spheres.



BETER'S ALLEGATION

5 PLO evacuation began on August 21 under the agreed multinational plan.



HISTORICAL RECORD

6 U.S. Marines arrived on August 25 to assist the evacuation.



HISTORICAL RECORD

7 Beter feared the withdrawal was tactical and further provocations would follow.



BETER'S INTERPRETATION

8 His hidden-cause explanation remains an unconfirmed allegation.



BETER'S INTERPRETATION

PETER DAVID BETER — AUDIO LETTER No. 78

AUGUST 27, 1982 | TOPIC 2

FINAL PENTAGON PLANS FOR SURPRISE NUCLEAR WAR

BETER'S ACCOUNT

- 1** Beter compared Beirut's destruction with the devastation of Louvain in 1914.



- 2** He alleged a covert first-strike scheme called Project Z.



- 3** His account described hidden "Phantom" aircraft intended to strike Soviet sites.



- 4** He linked a supposed satellite mission to a manufactured nuclear alert.



- 5** Space Command was activated on September 1, 1982.



- 6** The August 17 U.S.-China communiqué addressed arms sales to Taiwan.



- 7** Beter predicted nuclear war on September 17. That prediction did not come true.



- 8** Project Z is Beter's unconfirmed account, not established history.



PETER DAVID BETER – AUDIO LETTER No. 78

AUGUST 27, 1982 |
TOPIC 3

THE RUSSIAN SURPRISE WEAPON FOR NUCLEAR DEFENSE

BETER'S
ACCOUNT

1 Beter reported mysterious rings of domes near Soviet strategic sites.



BETER'S ALLEGATION

2 He claimed these installations might defend against the alleged Phantom aircraft.



BETER'S ALLEGATION

3 His account weighed guns, missiles and lasers as possible explanations.



BETER'S INTERPRETATION

4 Beter favored an electromagnetic weapon he called a railgun.



BETER'S INTERPRETATION

5 He also alleged unconventional targeting methods. These claims remain unconfirmed.



BETER'S ALLEGATION

6 He speculated that a hidden defense could disrupt the alleged first strike.



BETER'S INTERPRETATION

7 The supposed installations and weapon were not established by his account.



EDITOR'S NOTE

8 His discussion combined allegations, guesses and an appeal to avoid nuclear war.



BETER'S INTERPRETATION